Original Article Silencing of HIF-1α inhibited the expression of IncRNA NEAT1 to suppress development of hepatocellular carcinoma under hypoxia

Xiuming Zhang^{1*}, Zheng Kang^{1*}, Xiaodong Xie¹, Wei Qiao¹, Lei Zhang¹, Zhen Gong², Yan Chen¹, Wenrong Shen¹

¹Department of Radiology, Jiangsu Cancer Hospital, Jiangsu Institute of Cancer Research, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China; ²Department of Gynecology, Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital, Nanjing, China. ^{*}Equal contributors.

Received December 30, 2019; Accepted June 2, 2020; Epub July 15, 2020; Published July 30, 2020

Abstract: Background: We aimed to explore the relationship between hypoxia-inducible factors- 1α (HIF- 1α) and IncRNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1 (NEAT1), and their functions on hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) under hypoxia. Methods: HIF-1α and NEAT1 levels in HCC tissues and corresponding non-tumor tissues were determined by aRT-PCR, and the correlations of their levels in HCC tissues were analyzed by Pearson test. The relationship between overall survival and the two genes (HIF-1 α and NEAT1) for HCC patients was detected by log-rank test. Clinicopathological features of NEAT1 in HCC patients were collected. HIF-1a and NEAT1 levels in HCC cells were measured by gRT-PCR and Western blot, and their relationship was determined by co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay. Cell viability, migration and invasion were detected by CCK-8, scratch wound healing and transwell assay, respectively. The interaction of NEAT1 with HIF-1a in tumor development was determined by xenograft tumor assays in nude mice. Results: NEAT1 and HIF-1 α were highly expressed and showed a positive relationship in HCC tissues, and specifically, higher NEAT1 expression was positively associated with advanced TNM stage and metastasis in HCC patients. Up-regulated NEAT1 or HIF-1α in HCC patients had poorer prognosis. NEAT1 was induced by HIF-1α and suppressed by siHIF-1α. NEAT1 overexpression further promoted development of HCC under hypoxia while promoting cell viability, migration and invasion and suppressing apoptosis, and such effects were reversed by down-regulating HIF-1 α . NEAT1 overexpression promoted tumor growth, which was reversed by down-regulating HIF-1 α . Conclusion: HIF-1α knockdown inhibits NEAT1 expression, which suppresses progression of HCC and improves its prognosis.

Keywords: HIF-1a, NEAT1, hepatocellular carcinoma, hypoxia, prognosis

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), which accounts for approximately 90% of primary liver cancers, is the main type of primary liver cancer and causes 700,000 deaths annually worldwide [1, 2]. Meanwhile, HCC is also a major cause of cancer-related deaths in China [3]. Though some therapeutic options, such as systemic chemotherapy, targeted therapy, surgical resection, and liver transplant, have been adopted for the management of HCC [4], HCC has a high tolerance to the majority of anticancer treatments, and therefore the 5-year survival rate of HCC patients is still low [5]. Although efforts have been devoted to understanding basic cellular events in HCC, the exact mechanisms of liver carcinogenesis still remain unclear [6]. Thus, it is highly necessary to discover effective prognostic markers and potential molecular mechanism involved in HCC carcinogenesis so as to develop new targeted therapeutic methods for improving the prognosis of HCC patients.

Hypoxia, which can be commonly found in general solid tumors, is often involved in poor prognosis. It promotes cancer cell invasion and metastasis by activating related gene expressions via hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) [7]. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF1), a heterodimeric transcription factor composed of an α subunit (HIF-1 α) and a β subunit (HIF-1 β), participates in hypoxic signaling pathways, and HIF-

 1α is found to be the most mature factor in studying the relationship between HIF-1 and tumor [8]. HIF-1 α controls cellular and systemic homeostatic responses to oxygen availability [9]. Under hypoxia, it recognizes and binds to hypoxia response elements (HREs), activating the transcription of numerous genes to modulate pro-oncogenic events [10, 11]. Pathogenesis of HCC is involved in tumor hypoxia and the activation of HIF [12]. In HCC, HIF-1 α exerts a tumorigenic effect by promoting cell migration and invasion [13].

In recent years, multiple long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) have been reported to serve as important regulators in different cancers [14, 15], and to be associated with the promotion or inhibition of typical cancer hallmarks, including continuous proliferation, surpassing apoptosis, drug resistance, invasion, and metastasis [16]. Structures and functions of IncRNA nuclearenriched abundant transcript 1 (NEAT1) have been investigated widely for its significant role in numerous cancer-related pathological changes and metastasis [17]. However, the role of NEAT1 in HCC under hypoxia remains unclear.

The current study explored the expressions of HIF-1 α and NEAT1, their correlations in HCC tissues and their relationships with prognosis for HCC patients. We also investigated the relationship between HIF-1 α and NEAT1 and their roles in HCC progression *in vitro* under hypoxia and normoxia, and in tumor progression *in vitro*.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All patients signed the written informed consent before surgery, and Research Ethics Committee of Jiangsu Cancer Hospital (JCH2018-0504298) approved the current study according to the Declaration of Helsinki. In this study, all the animals were used in accordance with the Guidelines of the China Council on Animal Care and Use, and the experiments were approved by the Committee of Experimental Animals of Jiangsu Cancer Hospital (JCH20-19060326). Efforts have been made to minimize pain or discomfort caused to the animals. The animal experiments were performed in Jiangsu Cancer Hospital.

Clinical specimens

Ninety-eight patients who were diagnosed as hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and received routine hepatic resection in Jiangsu Cancer Hospital from 02/16/2018 to 03/16/2019 were included in this study. The patients had no radiotherapy or chemotherapy history and were treated by surgery, and fresh tumor samples were obtained from their resected specimens. The clinicopathological characteristics (gender, age, tumor size, HBsAg, liver cirrhosis, histological differentiation, TNM stage, and metastasis) are displayed in **Table 1**. Tumors and adjacent fresh non-tumor tissues were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C after the resection.

Prognosis analysis

Survival of HCC patients in relation to HIF-1 α was analyzed by Kaplan-Meier and log-rank test based on data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). Overall survival of NEAT1 in HCC patients was analyzed by Kaplan-Meier and log-rank test based on data from follow-up time (60 months).

Cell hypoxia culture

Two human liver cancer cell lines (Hep3B and SK-Hep1) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection and cultured in DMEM (12100, Solarbio, China) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; 11011-8611, Solarbio). For hypoxia treatment, the cells were cultured in an *in vivo* Hypoxia Work Station (Ruskinn Technology Ltd.) under normoxia (21% oxygen) or hypoxia (gas mixture of 1% oxygen, 5% CO₂, and 94% N₂).

Cell transfection

To construct plasmids that express NEAT1, the full-length human NEAT1 sequence was synthesized by RiboBio and subcloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector (V79020, ThermoFisher, USA). For HIF-1 α knockdown, 100 pmol of HIF-1 α siRNA (siHIF-1 α) (siRNA; GenePharma, Shanghai, China) and siRNA negative control (NC) were respectively transfected into the cells. The empty vector was considered as a control (pc-Control) of pcDNA-NEAT1. NC served as a control of siHIF-1 α . After seeded into 6-well plates, the cells were cultured for 24 h,

Characteristics		Ν	Low NEAT1 expression (n=45)	High NEAT1 expression (n=53)	X ²	Р
Gender	Male	80	37	43	0.019	0.890
	Female	18	8	10		
Age (years)	< 55	55	26	29	0.093	0.761
	≥ 55	43	19	24		
Tumor size (cm)	< 5	56	27	29	0.277	0.598
	≥5	42	18	24		
HBsAg	Negative	10	5	5	0.075	0.785
	Positive	88	40	48		
Liver cirrhosis	Absence	22	10	12	0.002	0.960
	Presence	76	35	41		
Histological differentiation	Well	21	10	11	0.033	0.984
	Moderate	35	16	19		
	Poor	42	19	23		
TNM stage	+	46	27	19	5.699	0.017
	+ V	52	18	34		
Metastasis	No	58	31	17	7.642	0.006
	Yes	40	14	26		

 Table 1. The relationship between NEAT1 and clinical characteristics of HCC patients

Table 2. Primer sequences used for quantita-tive reverse transcription-polymerase chainreaction (gRT-PCR)

Genes		Primer sequences (5'-3')
HIF-1α	Forward	GAACGTCGAAAAGAAAAGTCTCG
	Reverse	CCTTATCAAGATGCGAACTCACA
NEAT1	Forward	CAGTTAGTTTATCAGTTCTCCCATCCA
	Reverse	GTTGTTGTCGTCA CCTTTCAACTCT
GAPDH	Forward	GCTTCGGCAGCACATATACTAAAAT
	Reverse	CGCTTCACGAATTTGCGTGTCAT

and then transfected by Lipofectamine 2000 (11668, ThermoFisher, USA) following the instructions. The cells were collected after transfection for 48 h.

Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNAs were isolated from the collected tissues and cells by Trizol reagent (15596018, Invitrogen). PrimeScript[™] RT Master Mix was used for RT-PCR (RR036B, Takara). Quantitative PCR was performed in 7300 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, USA) using TB Green[®] Premix Ex Taq[™] II (RR820Q, Takara). The conditions were set as follows: incubation at 95°C for 30 min, followed by amplification at 95°C for 5 sec and at 60°C for 34 sec for 40 cycles. Expressions of genes were normalized to that of GAPDH (Sangon Biotech) using $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method [18]. The sequences of primers used are displayed in **Table 2**.

Western blot

Total proteins were extracted from the cells using RIPA buffer (R0010, Solarbio). The supernatant from the total proteins was collected and the concentration was determined by BCA protein assay kit (PC0020, Solarbio). The supernatant (30 µg/lane) was loaded for SDS gel and transferred onto PVDF membranes (FFP32, Beyotime, China) by using an electroblotting apparatus. Non-specific bindings were blocked by incubating the membranes in 5% (w/v)skimmed milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.5% Tween-20 (w/v) buffer at 37° C for 1 h. The membranes were probed by specific primary antibodies [anti-HIF-1α (Rabbit, 1:1000, ab51608, Abcam, USA) and anti-GAPDH antibody (Mouse, 1:500, ab8245, Abcam, USA)] at 4°C overnight, and washed by TBS containing 0.1% Tween-20. The membranes were then incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Goat Anti-Mouse, 1:2000, ab205719, Abcam, USA; Goat Anti-Rabbit, 1:2000, ab-205718, Abcam, USA) at 1:1000 dilution at 37°C for 1 h. After washing the membranes 3 times at an interval of 10 min, the signals were visualized by ECL detection kit (ECL, Premega, USA) and normalized to GAPDH.

Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay

Co-IP assay was performed as described previously [19]. Briefly, the total proteins were extracted from the cell lines and the concentration was determined. The total proteins were incubated with anti-HIF-1 α (ab51608, Abcam), or with control IgG antibodies and protein-G agarose beads (Beyotime) overnight at 4°C. The immunoprecipitates were boiled and then analyzed by Western blot.

Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay

Transfected cells $(5 \times 10^3 \text{ per well})$ were seeded in 96-well plates and cultured for 24 h. Then, CCK-8 solution (10 µL/well, CK04, Japan) was added into the plates for further incubation for 2 h. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (SpectraMax iD5, Molecular Devices, US).

Scratch wound healing assay

The cells were cultured in 6-well plates to reach 80-90% confluence, scratched by a 10 µl tip and further cultured for 24 h. The migration rate was calculated from photomicrographs under a $100\times$ inverted microscope (Ts2r-FL, Nikon, Japan).

Invasion assays

The cells were cultured in Transwell chambers (8 mm pores, Corning Inc., Corning, USA) composed of Transwell[®]-precoated Matrigel[™] membrane filter inserts. The 10% FBS was added into the lower chamber and served as the chemoattractant. After 24 h, the remaining cells were gently removed by cotton swabs, while those adhered to lower surface were fixed by 4% methanol for 30 min and stained by 0.1% crystal violet solution for 20 min at 37°C and photographed.

Flow cytometry assay

Cell apoptosis after treatment was determined by flow cytometry using an Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (CA1020, Solarbio, China). Briefly, the cells were treated and cultured in 6-well plates. After washed by cold sterile PBS, the cells were counted, resuspended in 1X binding buffer, and incubated with 5 µL of Annexin V-FITC and blended gently for 10 min, followed by incubation with 5 µL of propidium iodide (PI) for 5 min in the dark. Then the cells were diluted with PBS to 500 µL. After that, the apoptotic rate was evaluated by flow cytometry in flow cytometer Accuri[™] C6 (BD Biosciences) and the data were analyzed by Cell Quest software 3.3 (Becton-Dickinson).

Animal experiments in vivo

For tumorigenicity in vivo, 20 male BALB/c athymic nude mice (aged 6 weeks old) were randomly divided into four groups, with five mice in each group. SiHIF-1α, pc-NEAT1-transfected or SiHIF-1a, and pc-NEAT1 co-transfected Hep3B cells (1×10^7 cells in 100 µL) were injected subcutaneously into the left flanks of the nude mice. In the control group, tumor growth was measured every week and when the diameter reached 1 cm, the mice were sacrificed and tumors were weighted. The tumor volume was calculated according to the following equation: $V = 0.5 \times D \times d^2$ (V = volume; D = longitudinal diameter and d = latitudinal diameter). The tissue samples were paraffin-embedded and sectioned, and the expressions of HIF-1 α protein and NEAT1 were determined by immunohistochemistry and qRT-PCR.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described [6]. The tissues were sectioned into 4 µm thick, treated with antigen retrieval by heating ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid buffer in a microwave oven. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubating the sections in $3\% H_2O_2$ for 30 min and then rinsed in PBS three times at an interval of 3 min. The sections were incubated with mouse monoclonal antibody against HIF-1 α (ab1, 1: 500, Abcam) at 4°C overnight and then incubated with secondary antibody (Goat Anti-Mouse, 1:2000, Abcam). The DAB Horseradish Peroxidase Color Development Kit (P0203, Beyotime) was used to develop color for targeting proteins. Next, the sections were redyed by Hematoxylin Staining Solution (C0107, Beyotime).

Statistical analysis

The data were shown as mean \pm standard deviation (S.D.). Chi-square test was used to deter-



Figure 1. Correlations and relative expressions of HIF-1 α and NEAT1 in HCC tissues and their relationships with overall survival of HCC patients. (A and B) HIF-1 α (A) and NEAT1 (B) expressions were detected by qRT-PCR and normalized to GAPDH expression in 98 pairs of HCC tissues compared with adjacent nontumourous liver specimens. (C) Spearman's rank correlation analysis was performed to analyze the correlations of HIF-1 α and NEAT1 in HCC tissues. (D and E) Kaplan-Meier survival curve and log-rank test were used to evaluate whether HIF-1 α and NEAT1 expression levels were associated with overall survival rate. ***P < 0.001 vs. Adjacent tissue. The data were shown as mean ± standard deviation (S.D.). Abbreviations: HIF-1 α , hypoxia-inducible factors-1 α ; NEAT1, IncRNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.

mine the correlation between NEAT1 and clinical features in liver cancer patients. Paired *t*-test was performed for paired samples. Correlation coefficients (r) were analyzed by Pearson correlation. Kaplan-Meier plots were analyzed by log-rank test. Comparisons among multiple groups were conducted by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni-*t* post hoc test. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and P < 0.05 was considered to be of statistical significance.

Results

NEAT1 and HIF-1 α were differentially overexpressed in HCC tissues and their overexpressions were associated with poorer prognosis

QRT-PCR results showed that NEAT1 and HIF-1 α were obviously increased in HCC tissues compared with those in adjacent normal tissues (**Figure 1A** and **1B**, P < 0.001). Pearson correlation analysis revealed that HIF-1 α expression was positively correlated with NEAT1 expression in HCC specimens (**Figure 1C**, P < 0.05).

Data on differential expression of HIF-1 α in HCC cancer were collected from TCGA. The proportion of surviving HCC patients in the HIF-1 α high group (n=184) was significantly lower than that in the HIF-1 α low group (n=185), suggesting that HCC patients with low HIF-1 α expression could have a better prognosis (**Figure 1D**, P=0.0026).

As shown in **Table 1**, HCC patients were divided into two groups, namely, low NEAT1-expression group (n=45) and high NEAT1-expression group (n=53), according to the cut-off value, which was defined as the median value of the NEAT1 level. Clinicopathological analysis showed that there were no statistically significant differences in gender, age, tumor size, HBsAg, and histological differentiation liver cirrhosis between



Figure 2. NEAT1 was induced by HIF-1 α and HIF-1 α interacted with the hypoxia response element (HRE) in the promoter region of NEAT1. A-F. The mRNA and protein levels of HIF-1 α were detected in Normal (non-transfected control), Normal + NC (siRNA non-targeting control), Normal + siHIF-1 α (siRNA-HIF-1 α), Hypoxia, Hypoxia + NC, Hypoxia + siHIF-1 α groups in Hep3 and SK-Hep1 cells by qRT-PCR and Western blot. G. The mRNA levels of NEAT1 were detected in Normal, Normal + NC, Normal + siHIF-1 α , Hypoxia, Hypoxia + NC, Hypoxia + siHIF-1 α groups in Hep3 cells by qRT-PCR. H. The mRNA levels of NEAT1 were detected in Normal, Normal + siHIF-1 α groups in SK-Hep1 cells by qRT-PCR. I. ChIP assays were performed to detect whether HIF-1 α directly bound to the HRE of NEAT1 promoter. GAPDH served as an internal control. *P < 0.05 or **P < 0.01 or ***P < 0.001 vs. Normal + NC. ###P < 0.001 vs. Normal. ***P < 0.001 vs. Hypoxia + NIF-1 α , hypoxia-inducible factors-1 α ; NEAT1, IncRNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; NC, negative control.

the low NEAT1 and high NEAT1 groups (**Table 1**, P > 0.05); However, a high level of NEAT1 was found to be significantly associated with advanced tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage (**Table 1**, P=0.017) and metastasis of HCC (**Table 1**, P=0.006).

Kaplan-Meier plots showed that HCC patients in the high NEAT1-expression group (n=53) had lower overall survival rate (15%-25%) compared

with the low NEAT1-expression group (n=45) (Figure 1E, P=0.018).

HIF-1α silencing reduced NEAT1 level in Hep3B and SK-Hep1 cells under hypoxia

SiHIF-1 α significantly reduced the protein and mRNA levels of HIF-1 α in Hep3B and SK-Hep1 cells (**Figure 2A-F**, P < 0.05 or P < 0.01 or P < 0.001). Nevertheless, the protein and mRNA

levels of HIF-1 α were highly expressed in Hep3B and SK-Hep1 cells under hypoxia (**Figure 2A-F**, P < 0.001), which, however, could be partially reversed by silencing HIF-1 α (**Figure 2A-F**, P < 0.001).

Under hypoxia, NEAT1 was highly expressed in Hep3B (Figure 2G, P < 0.001) and SK-Hep1 cells (Figure 2H, P < 0.001), while this effect was partially reversed by HIF-1 α silencing (Figure 2G and 2H, P < 0.001). In addition, we found that HIF-1 α bound to hypoxia response elements (HRE) in gene promoters of NEAT1 in hypoxic Hep3B and SK-Hep1 cells (Figure 2I), suggesting that HIF-1 α can regulate proteincoding genes of NEAT1.

HIF-1α silencing suppressed the development of HCC by negatively regulating NEAT1 level in Hep3B and SK-Hep1 cells under hypoxia

Hep3B and SK-Hep1 cells in the hypoxia, pccontrol, pc-NEAT1, pc-NEAT1 + siHIF-1 α , siHIF-1 α groups were exposed to hypoxia, and we observed that hypoxia or NEAT1 overexpression significantly increased the level of NEAT1 in Hep3B (**Figur 3A**, P < 0.001) and SK-Hep1 cells (**Figure 3B**, P < 0.001), while HIF-1 α silencing greatly reduced the protein level of NEAT1 (**Figure 3A** and **3B**, P < 0.001).

NEAT1 overexpression further promoted the viability of Hep3B (Figure 3C, P < 0.001) and SK-Hep1 cells (Figure 3D, P < 0.01) under hypoxia. However, HIF-1 α silencing significantly suppressed the cell viability under hypoxia (Figure 3C and 3D, P < 0.01), which was partially reversed NEAT1 overexpression (Figure 3C and 3D, P < 0.001). Moreover, the apoptosis rates of Hep3B (Figure 3E, P < 0.001) and SK-Hep1 cells (Figure 3F, P < 0.001) were slightly increased under hypoxia. HIF-1α silencing significantly increased the apoptosis rates of Hep3B (Figure 3E, P < 0.001) and SK-Hep1 cells (Figure 3F, P < 0.001) under hypoxia, which was partially reversed by NEAT1 overexpression (Figure 3E and 3F, P < 0.001).

As shown in **Figure 4A-E**, NEAT1 overexpression further promoted migration and invasion under hypoxia (P < 0.001), while HIF-1 α silencing noticeably suppressed migration and invasion under hypoxia (P < 0.001), and the effects of HIF-1 α silencing were partially reversed by NEAT1 overexpression (P < 0.001).

Knocking down HIF-1α inhibited tumor growth in vivo through negatively regulating NEAT1 expression

To evaluate the effects of siHIF-1 α and NEAT1 on the tumor growth of HCC cells *in vivo*, we established a xenograft model in which the Hep3B cells treated with siHIF-1 α , or pc-NEAT1, or siHIF-1 α and pc-NEAT1 were subcutaneously injected into the fland of athymic mice, respectively. The xenograft model was allowed to develop measurable tumors. The volume (**Figure 5A** and **5C**, P < 0.001) and weight (**Figure 5A** and **5D**, P < 0.001) of the tumors in the siHIF-1 α group were significantly smaller and lighter than those in the control group, which, however, were reversed by pc-NEAT1 (**Figure 5A**, **5C** and **5D**, P < 0.001).

Next, qRT-PCR analysis of NEAT1 expression and immunostaining analysis of HIF-1 α protein expression were performed in resected tumor tissues. As described in **Figure 5E**, the relative level of NEAT1 expression in the siHIF-1 α group was significantly lower than that in the control group (P < 0.001), which was reversed by pc-NEAT1 (P < 0.001). As described in **Figure 5B**, siHIF-1 α reduced the protein level of HIF-1 α , while pc-NEAT1 did not affect the protein level of HIF-1 α .

Collectively, our *in vivo* and *in vitro* data supported that NEAT1 can act as an oncogenic IncRNA in HCC, and its expression can be suppressed by HIF-1 α knockdown.

Discussion

In the study, the data showed that NEAT1 and HIF-1 α were highly expressed in HCC. Consistent with our findings, a recent study revealed that NEAT1 was obviously up-regulated in HCC tissues and cells [20]. We also found that higher expressions of HIF1 α and NEAT1 were associated with poorer prognosis in HCC patients. Moreover, studies showed that in human HCC tissues, HIF1 α is highly elevated and is associated with poorer prognosis [21, 22]. Li G et al. reported that IncRNA plays an important role in tumorigenesis, subsequent prognosis and metastasis of HCC [23], which is consistent with our findings that higher NEAT1 expression was closely associated with advanced TNM stage, metastasis and prognosis in HCC patients. Liu Z et al. suggested that in HCC tissues

The role of HIF-1 α and NEAT1 in hepatocellular carcinoma



Figure 3. HIF-1 α silencing suppressed the development of HCC by negatively regulating NEAT1 level in Hep3B and SK-Hep1 cells under hypoxia. (A and B) The mRNA levels of NEAT1 were detected in Normal (non-transfected and non-hypoxia control), Hypoxia, pc-Control (non-targeting and hypoxia control), pc-NEAT1 (NEAT1 transfected and hypoxia), pc-NEAT1 + siHIF-1 α (NEAT1 and siRNA-HIF-1 α co-transfected under hypoxia), siHIF-1 α groups in Hep3 (A) and SK-Hep1 cells (B) by qRT-PCR. (C and D) The viability rates were detected in Normal, Hypoxia, pc-Control, pc-NEAT1, pc-NEAT1 + siHIF-1 α , siHIF-1 α groups in Hep3 (C) and SK-Hep1 cells (D) by CCK-8. (E and F) The apoptosis rates were detected in Normal, Hypoxia, pc-Control, pc-NEAT1, pc-NEAT1 + siHIF-1 α , siHIF-1 α groups in Hep3 (C) and SK-Hep1 cells (D) by flow cytometry assay. GAPDH served as an internal control. *P < 0.05 or ***P < 0.001 vs. Normal. ###P < 0.001 vs. pc-Control. ^^P < 0.001 vs. pc-NEAT1. $\Delta \Delta P$ < 0.001 vs. pc-NEAT1 + siHIF-1 α . The data were shown as mean ± standard deviation (S.D.). Abbreviations: HIF-1 α , hypoxia-inducible factors-1 α ; NEAT1, IncRNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; CCK-8, cell counting kit-8.

NEAT1 overexpression is an independent risk factor associated with the prognosis of HCC patients [24]. Besides, our data directly confirmed that HIF-1 α expression was positively correlated with NEAT1 expression in HCC tissues. Taken together, expressions of HIF-1 α and NEAT1 have high predictive and diagnostic values and can serve as prognostic markers and treatment targets for HCC.

Hypoxia is a general symptom in solid cancers and is particularly common in HCC due to its rapid growth [25]. Hypoxia is closely related to tumor progression and negatively affects overall prognosis [26]. Our results demonstrated that HIF-1 α and NEAT1 were highly expressed in HCC cells under hypoxia, suggesting that hypoxia caused poor prognosis to HCC patients possibly through overexpressing HIF-1 α and NEAT1. Moreover, Co-IP results demonstrated that HIF-1 α promoted NEAT1 transcription through directly binding to the HRE in the promoter region of NEAT1, which were consistent with the correlation of HIF-1 α and NEAT1 in HCC tissues and the result that NEAT1 expression was inhibited by down-regulating the expression of HIF-1 α . In view of this, we suggested that the overexpression of NEAT1 was induced partly by HIF-1 α directly binding to the NEAT1 promoter in HCC cells under hypoxia. Therefore, we elucidated that it might be a promising therapeutic option of HCC to inhibit the expression of NEAT1 by HIF-1α silencing.

In the present study, the results demonstrated that NEAT1 further promoted the HCC cell development, evidenced by increased cell viability, migration and invasion, and suppressed apoptosis in HCC cells under hypoxia, while HIF- 1α silencing further promoted the above phenomena. Consistently, previous study showed that down-regulating the expression of NEAT1 not only suppressed proliferation, migration,

and invasion of HCC cells, but also promoted apoptosis of HCC cells [27]. We also found that the effects of NEAT1 overexpression in HCC cells under hypoxia were partially reversed by HIF-1 α silencing. Migration refers to any directed cell movement within the body, and invasion of carcinomas is the penetration of tissue barriers [28]. The migration and invasion abilities of cancer cells enables diseases to spread through changing position within tissues or from the initial tumor [29]. Cancer cell migration and invasion into surrounding tissues and vasculature are the pivotal and initial events in cancer metastasis [29]. Frequent tumor metastasis will bring dismal outcome to HCC patients [30]. Thus, inhibition of HIF-1 α might suppress HCC development and improve prognosis by negatively regulating the expression of NEAT1 under hypoxia. In addition, the results from xenograft tumor assays in nude mice further revealed that HIF-1 α silencing suppressed HCC malignant progression in vitro through inhibiting the expression of NEAT1.

In brief, our results provide novel findings that improve the current understanding on HCC pathogenesis and provide a promising strategy for the diagnosis and treatment of HCC. However, HCC progression is a complex process involving the most gene networks and changes in signaling pathways, many of which still need to be illuminated [31]. For example, Ling Z A et al. [27] reported that NEAT1 promotes the development of HCC through interacting with several tumor-related genes such as SP1 and KAT2A; Mang Y et al. [32] found that NEAT1 promotes cell proliferation and invasion through modulating hnRNP A2 expression in HCC cells. These findings suggested that the mechanism of HIF-1 α and NEAT1 in HCC under hypoxia may involve other downstream targets, yet more experiments are



The role of HIF-1 α and NEAT1 in hepatocellular carcinoma

The role of HIF-1 α and NEAT1 in hepatocellular carcinoma

Figure 4. HIF-1 α silencing suppressed the development of HCC by negatively regulating NEAT1 level in Hep3B and SK-Hep1 cells under hypoxia. (A, B) Migration rates were detected in Normal (non-transfected and non-hypoxia control), Hypoxia, pc-Control (non-targeting and hypoxia control), pc-NEAT1 (NEAT1 transfected and hypoxia), pc-NEAT1 + siHIF-1 α (NEAT1 and siRNA-HIF-1 α co-transfected under hypoxia), siHIF-1 α groups in Hep3 (A and B) and SK-Hep1 cells (C and D) by scratch wound test. (E-H) Invasion rates were detected in Normal, Hypoxia, pc-Control, pc-NEAT1, pc-NEAT1 + siHIF-1 α , siHIF-1 α groups in Hep3 (E and F) and SK-Hep1 cells (G and H) by transwell assay. ***P < 0.001 vs. Normal. ###P < 0.001 vs. pc-Control. ^^P < 0.001 vs. pc-NEAT1 + siHIF-1 α , hypoxia-inducible factors-1 α ; NEAT1, IncRNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1.



Figure 5. Knockdown of HIF-1 α inhibited tumor growth *in vivo* through negatively regulating NEAT1 expression. A. Photographs of representative tumor diameter of nude mice. B. Immunohistochemistry assays were performed to detect HIF-1 α protein expression in tumor tissues of Control (no injection of Hep3B cells), siHIF-1 α (injection of Hep3B cells transfected with siRNA HIF-1 α), siHIF-1 α + pc-NEAT1 (injection of Hep3B cells transfected with siRNA HIF-1 α), siHIF-1 α + pc-NEAT1 (injection of Hep3B cells transfected with siRNA HIF-1 α , and NEAT1) and pc-NEAT1 (injection of Hep3B cells transfected with siRNA HIF-1 α , siHIF-1 α + pc-NEAT1 and pc-NEAT1 groups. C. Tumor volumes were measured in tumor tissues of siHIF-1 α , siHIF-1 α + pc-NEAT1 and pc-NEAT1 groups. D. Tumor weights were measured in tumor tissues of siHIF-1 α , siHIF-1 α + pc-NEAT1 and pc-NEAT1 groups. E. The mRNA levels of NEAT1 were determined in tumor tissues of siHIF-1 α , siHIF-1 α + pc-NEAT1 and pc-NEAT1 groups by qRT-PCR. GAPDH served as an internal control. ***P < 0.001 vs. SiHIF-1 α , hypoxia-inducible factors-1 α ; NEAT1, IncRNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction.

required to be performed in appropriate cells or animal models to confirm this hypothesis. However, the generate more data of some mechanistic studies should be further explore for supporting the observation and conclusion in this study.

Conclusion

Taken together, the study demonstrates that NEAT1 acts as an oncogene by promoting malignant development, and that higher expression of NEAT1 predicts a poorer prognosis of human HCC. The expression of NEAT1 could be suppressed by down-regulating the expression of HIF-1 α .

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Young Talents Program of Jiangsu Cancer Hospital [Grant Number QL201801]; the National Natural Science Foundation of China [Grant Number 81872485]. Thanks for the financial supports.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Wenrong Shen, Department of Radiology, Jiangsu Cancer Hospital, Jiangsu Institute of Cancer Research, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, No. 42, Baiziting, Xuanwu District, Nanjing 210000, Jiangsu, China. Tel: +86-025-83283502; E-mail: shenwr_wrong@163.com

References

- [1] Rawat D, Shrivastava S, Naik RA, Chhonker SK, Mehrotra A and Koiri RK. An overview of natural plant products in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Anticancer Agents Med Chem 2018; 18: 1838-1859.
- [2] Pollicino T and Saitta C. Occult hepatitis B virus and hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 37: 439-440.
- [3] Zhu ZX, Huang JW, Liao MH and Zeng Y. Treatment strategy for hepatocellular carcinoma in China: radiofrequency ablation versus liver resection. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2016; 46: 1075-1080.
- [4] Shiani A, Narayanan S, Pena L and Friedman M. The role of diagnosis and treatment of underlying liver disease for the prognosis of primary liver cancer. Cancer Control 2017; 24: 1073274817729240.
- [5] Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin 2017; 67: 7-30.
- [6] Wang F, Ying HQ, He BS, Pan YQ, Deng QW, Sun HL, Chen J, Liu X and Wang SK. Upregulated IncRNA-UCA1 contributes to progression of hepatocellular carcinoma through inhibition of miR-216b and activation of FGFR1/ERK signaling pathway. Oncotarget 2015; 6: 7899-7917.
- [7] Lu X and Kang Y. Hypoxia and hypoxia-inducible factors: master regulators of metastasis. Clin Cancer Res 2010; 16: 5928-5935.
- [8] Fallone F, Britton S, Nieto L, Salles B and Muller C. ATR controls cellular adaptation to hypoxia through positive regulation of hypoxia-

inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) expression. Oncogene 2013; 32: 4387-4396.

- [9] Snell CE, Turley H, McIntyre A, Li D, Masiero M, Schofield CJ, Gatter KC, Harris AL and Pezzella F. Proline-hydroxylated hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha (HIF-1alpha) upregulation in human tumours. PLoS One 2014; 9: e88955.
- [10] Liu L, Zhao X, Zou H, Bai R, Yang K and Tian Z. Hypoxia Promotes gastric cancer malignancy partly through the HIF-1alpha dependent transcriptional activation of the long non-coding RNA GAPLINC. Front Physiol 2016; 7: 420.
- [11] Nagaraju GP, Park W, Wen J, Mahaseth H, Landry J, Farris AB, Willingham F, Sullivan PS, Proia DA, El-Hariry I, Taliaferro-Smith L, Diaz R and El-Rayes BF. Antiangiogenic effects of ganetespib in colorectal cancer mediated through inhibition of HIF-1alpha and STAT-3. Angiogenesis 2013; 16: 903-917.
- [12] Chen C and Lou T. Hypoxia inducible factors in hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 46691-46703.
- [13] Feng W, Xue T, Huang S, Shi Q, Tang C, Cui G, Yang G, Gong H and Guo H. HIF-1alpha promotes the migration and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma cells via the IL-8-NF-kappaB axis. Cell Mol Biol Lett 2018; 23: 26.
- [14] Li D, He J, Qian X, Xu P, Wang X, Li Z, Qian J and Yao J. The involvement of IncRNAs in the development and progression of pancreatic cancer. Cancer Biol Ther 2017; 18: 927-936.
- [15] Huang X, Zhi X, Gao Y, Ta N, Jiang H and Zheng J. LncRNAs in pancreatic cancer. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 57379-57390.
- [16] Renganathan A and Felley-Bosco E. Long noncoding RNAs in cancer and therapeutic potential. Adv Exp Med Biol 2017; 1008: 199-222.
- [17] Fang L, Sun J, Pan Z, Song Y, Zhong L, Zhang Y, Liu Y, Zheng X and Huang P. Long non-coding RNA NEAT1 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma cell proliferation through the regulation of miR-129-5p-VCP-IkappaB. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2017; 313: G150-G156.
- [18] Livak KJ and Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression using different real-time quantitative PCR and $2-\Delta\Delta$ CT method. Acta Agronomica Sinica 2001; 25: 402-408.
- [19] Li Y, Gao Y, Cui T, Yang T, Liu L, Li T and Chen J. Retinoic acid facilitates toll-like receptor 4 expression to improve intestinal barrier function through retinoic acid receptor beta. Cell Physiol Biochem 2017; 42: 1390-1406.
- Wang Z, Zou Q, Song M and Chen J. NEAT1 promotes cell proliferation and invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma by negative regulating miR-613 expression. Biomed Pharmacother 2017; 94: 612-618.
- [21] Wang M, Zhao X, Zhu D, Liu T, Liang X, Liu F, Zhang Y, Dong X and Sun B. HIF-1alpha promoted vasculogenic mimicry formation in he-

patocellular carcinoma through LOXL2 up-regulation in hypoxic tumor microenvironment. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2017; 36: 60.

- [22] Yang SL, Liu LP, Jiang JX, Xiong ZF, He QJ and Wu C. The correlation of expression levels of HIF-1alpha and HIF-2alpha in hepatocellular carcinoma with capsular invasion, portal vein tumor thrombi and patients' clinical outcome. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2014; 44: 159-167.
- [23] Li G, Zhang H, Wan X, Yang X, Zhu C, Wang A, He L, Miao R, Chen S and Zhao H. Long noncoding RNA plays a key role in metastasis and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. Biomed Res Int 2014; 2014: 780521.
- [24] Liu Z, Chang Q, Yang F, Liu B, Yao HW, Bai ZG, Pu CS, Ma XM, Yang Y, Wang TT, Guo W, Zhou XN and Zhang ZT. Long non-coding RNA NEAT1 overexpression is associated with unfavorable prognosis in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatectomy: a Chinese population-based study. Eur J Surg Oncol 2017; 43: 1697-1703.
- [25] Keith B, Johnson RS and Simon MC. HIF1alpha and HIF2alpha: sibling rivalry in hypoxic tumour growth and progression. Nat Rev Cancer 2011; 12: 9-22.
- [26] Wu W, Hu Q, Nie E, Yu T, Wu Y, Zhi T, Jiang K, Shen F, Wang Y, Zhang J and You Y. Hypoxia induces H19 expression through direct and indirect Hif-1alpha activity, promoting oncogenic effects in glioblastoma. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 45029.

- [27] Ling ZA, Xiong DD, Meng RM, Cen JM, Zhao N, Chen G, Li RL and Dang YW. LncRNA NEAT1 promotes deterioration of hepatocellular carcinoma based on in vitro experiments, data mining, and RT-qPCR analysis. Cell Physiol Biochem 2018; 48: 540-555.
- [28] Kramer N, Walzl A, Unger C, Rosner M, Krupitza G, Hengstschlager M and Dolznig H. In vitro cell migration and invasion assays. Mutat Res 2013; 752: 10-24.
- [29] Duff D and Long A. Roles for RACK1 in cancer cell migration and invasion. Cell Signal 2017; 35: 250-255.
- [30] Hua L, Wang CY, Yao KH, Chen JT, Zhang JJ and Ma WL. High expression of long non-coding RNA ANRIL is associated with poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2015; 8: 3076-3082.
- [31] Zucman-Rossi J, Villanueva A, Nault JC and Llovet JM. Genetic landscape and biomarkers of hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology 2015; 149: 1226-1239.e1224.
- [32] Mang Y, Li L, Ran J, Zhang S, Liu J, Li L, Chen Y, Liu J, Gao Y and Ren G. Long noncoding RNA NEAT1 promotes cell proliferation and invasion by regulating hnRNP A2 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Onco Targets Ther 2017; 10: 1003-1016.